A Note from the Editor

“All we have to do is to show people that they are trapped in the silken but fragile shrouds of a pattern of discourse conventions. Yet how deeply they resist these demonstrations! This resistance is instanced not only by creationists, religious fundamentalists of many faiths, patriots, fan clubs, Freudians and all sorts of others” (Harré, 2009, p. 142)

Dear members of the 18th Division and the community in general interested in the history of psychology all around the world.

This time we want to dedicate this Editorial to the “100 Centennial Congress of Applied Psychology”. Since its foundation in 1918 by Edward Claparede, he had as a course the construction of an association oriented to discussions on applied psychology (Viteles, 1968). In that sense, what does a History Division have to contribute to the purpose of the IAAP? Helio Carpintero, the first president of Division 18, said that the applicability of History in psychology was to understand it as a discipline product of various historical forces (Carpintero & Herrero, 2002). It is a challenge for our Division to continue with the objectives outlined by our first president, the Centennial will be an opportunity to review our role in the last 100 years.

It is of great importance to pay tribute to one of the most important psychologists in the last century who leaves us with deep sorrow. The reference in the beginning of this editorial shows the political sense of the professor and his hard fight counter all dogmatism. Professor Rom Harré leaves a legacy deeply rooted principal in the field of theory of psychology especially the debate about the social psychology and the relationship with the philosophy of sciences. In this Newsletter, we count again with the contribution of Professor Daniel Goodey and an article about Forensic Psychology in Australia.
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Julio César Ossa Ph.D.
Editor
Dear members of the Division of History of Applied Psychology, dear colleagues interested in History of Psychology.

We know that the “History of Psychology” is an area of growing investment. Thus, it is time to look for intersections with other areas, with other researchers. One of these possibilities is present in this issue of our Newsletter: an article on Forensic Psychology in Australia. The publication of this article is an effort to reduce the separation of Divisions within the IAAP: there was a proposal from Division 10, Psychology and Law, to divulge an article published in the Division Newsletter, about the history of Forensic Psychology in Australia. We proposed to republish it in our Newsletter - see it in this issue. In return, Division 10 offered to publish in its Newsletter articles from our Division members on its theme, Psychology and Law.

So, if you have any work about, and would like this exchange with Division 10, let us know!

In this issue of our Newsletter, we also have a short article about Franz Fanon, widely recovered in Social Psychology (and in History of Psychology) with the new proposal for postcolonial and decolonial perspectives. If this look is obvious to the researchers of the south - Latin America, Africa, Asia -, we consider that it is also relevant to the historians of the central countries, so the text is very welcome.

In this issue, we have very good news, including the creation of the Argentine Society of History of Psychology. Its first president is Hugo Klappenbach, a name well known in our field and with whom I am pleased to be editing a Biographical Encyclopedia of Latin American Psychology (with the participation of Rubén Ardila as well). We wish the new Association success!

And, let's not forget, the IAAP Centennial Congress, the Centennial! Initial information can be seen at https://www.ccapcancun2020.com/

It is important to note that in this congress will see the release of a book about this 100-year period, a request from the IAAP Council to our Division. This book is being published by Willey and edited by Helio Carpintero (the creator of our Division), Ruben Ardila (Past President) and myself. We hope to have all the members of our Division present in Cancun!

And we're still looking forward to everyone's collaboration on the next issues of our Newsletter.

Ana Jacó-Vilela
President Division 18 IAAP
Frantz Fanon and the Psychology of Oppression: Historical Movements and Movers in Psychology

Daniel Goodey
Robert Gordon University in Aberdeen - Scotland
d.goodey@rgu.ac.uk

Frantz Fanon (1925-1961) was a major voice in critical psychology analysing the dynamism and symbiotic relationship between psychology and the politics of oppression, primarily in the areas of colonialism, decolonialism and neo-colonialism (Hook, 2004). Born in Martinique, Fanon trained as a psychiatrist in France and spent much of his career treating patients – soldiers and civilians – for psychological distress as a result of the Algerian struggle for independence (Maspero, 1988). His writings in psychology – or what has been termed as ‘psychopolitics’ (Hook, 2004, p. 85) – focus on the psychopathology of oppression, primarily as experienced through colonialism.

The problem Fanon recognised in psychology was that the unique character of psychology is that the subject becomes the object of its own study, and in so doing it has historically sought assurance of objectivity through strict adherence to the scientific method (Burman, 1997). However, in its attempt to derive positivist certitude, methodology became somewhat fetishized to the point where minority epistemologies were either subordinated or dismissed entirely (Maldonado-Torres, 2017). A symptom of this can be seen in Alice Cherki’s (2006) succinct articulation of Fanon’s point of how methodology myopically reduces the subject to a mere object of examination whereby psychological maladies are dismissed because of a patient’s inability to describe them within established and accepted categories. ‘These patients, he argued, were their pain and incapable of functioning in a linguistic register that exacts specificity’ (Cherki, 2006, p. 17).

In other words, because a psychological patient shared their problem in a way that was culturally appropriate to their lived experience but did not fit within the accepted thematic coding of the dominant culture, the problem – and, consequently, the patient – was dismissed (Fanon, 1989).

Fanon argued that epistemic primacy should be given to the attitudinal paradigm of the patient’s lived experience rather than a methodological approach which risked no schematic category existing for that experience. Essentially, psychology’s ignorance of its own inherent biases and prejudices paralleled the cultural ignorance of the oppressors of the plight of the oppressed, of the colonisers and those colonised (Fanon, 1990), and even of those inculturated oppressed and colonised themselves (Fanon, 1988). The heart of the problem for psychology was its blind Eurocentrism and its inability to address the complex issues and psychological disorders of disadvantaged and minority people (Fanon, 1986).

Fanon’s works have left a lasting legacy on the historical development of the psychology of oppression (Bulhan, 2004) – and subsequent understandings of the internalisation process of racism (Carter, 2007; Speight, 2007), especially psychopathologies developed under colonialism and their implications for treating those subjected to neo-colonialism (David & Okazaki, 2006), and many other works in the area of psychologies for liberation (Dawes, 2001; Watkins & Shulman, 2008). Yet, Fanon’s influence in further areas of psychological research should not be underestimated, such as feminism (Kurtiş & Adams, 2015; Moane, 2014), gender issues (Battle, Peterson, Lucas & Applewhite, 2017; Moane, 2014), and disability (Goodley, 2013; Watermeyer, 2012). Undoubtedly, Fanon’s critical psychology will continue to inspire for generations.
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An Overview of Psychology and Law and Forensic Psychology in Australia

Alfred Allan¹, Anthony D Cole², Donald M Thomson³ and Cate L Parry³

¹ Edith Cowan University, Perth, Western Australia.
² Retired private practitioner, Sydney, New South Wales.
³ Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria
⁴ Private practice, Perth, Western Australia

Abstract

Psychology’s roots in Australia go back to 1881, but the first documented evidence of psychology-and-law (psycholegal) research and psychologists working in court and correctional settings only emerged in 1949. The activities of psycholegal researchers and psychologists providing services to the correction, investigative and justice systems are not well-documented. Our aim in this paper is to start recording the histories of these people and the development of the psycholegal and forensic psychology fields. We do this primarily by examining publications and conference papers and recording our and other people’s personal recollections. We specifically record psychologists’ interaction with lawyers, their concerns about professional and ethical issues and the development of research and teaching programs. We finally give a brief overview of the current state of the two fields.

Publications

The first domestic Australian journal aimed at psychologists was the Australian Journal of Psychology and Philosophy (founded in 1923 and it was followed by the Australian Journal of Psychology in 1949. We could not identify a paper with a psycholegal focus in the Australian Journal of Psychology and Philosophy, but Rose (1949) published a paper entitled Social Factors in Delinquency in the first edition of the Australian Journal of Psychology. Delinquency remained a very prominent topic in the 1950s (e.g., Crane, 1951; Mitchell, 1956), 1970s (e.g., Biles, 1971) into the 1990s (e.g., Huon & McConkey, 1998).

The Australian Psychologist was first published in 1966 and attracted psycholegal papers from psychologists (e.g., Brown, 1981), psychiatrists (Bartholomew, 1992; Bartholomew, Badger, & Milte, 1977) and lawyers (Kirby, 1978). These early papers reflect psychologists, psychiatrists and lawyers’ attempts to clarify the role of psychologists in law. Anthony Cole became the Australian...
Psychologist’s first Associate Editor for forensic psychology in 1989 and he remained in this position until 1994. He was later the special topic editor for a special section on Forensic Psychology in the Australian Psychologist in 1996. The papers in this edition included papers on organisational psychology applied to forensic issues (Hesketh, Rawlings, & Allen, 1996), the psychology of sentencing (Indermaur, 1996) and the investigation and formulation of forensic problems (Thomas-Peter & Howells, 1996).

Psychologists also worked with researchers from other disciplines to establish the Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology (first published in 1968) the Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences (first published in 1968) and the Psychiatry, Psychology and Law journal (first published in 1994). Dr Peter Golus started the International Journal of Forensic Psychology in 2003 with the aim of giving Australian forensic psychologists a specialised journal.

Conferences

The AAPP, the Australian branch of the British Psychological Society and the APS all organised conferences on a regular, often annual, basis (Cooke, 2000). The first psycholegal paper we identified was, however, only presented at the 5th annual conference of the APS in Hobart in 1970 and the presenter’s focus was like that of the authors of early articles on juveniles and delinquency (Loftus, 1970). A.H. Morgan chaired the first forensic psychology symposium at the APS’ 15th Annual Conference in Toowoomba in 1980 during which speakers addressed the role of psychologists in courts (Brown, 1980), juvenile justice (Carlyon, 1980) and maximum security prisons (Dorey, 1980). Papers on testimony (Sturgess & Robertson, 1981) and juvenile justice (Cole, 1990; Cole & Hensley, 1989) continued to feature in conference programs over the years, but new themes appeared such as the interviewing of children as witnesses (Powell, 2002) and testimony in the family courts (Allan, 2003; Allan & Dear, 2003). The APS and the International Association of Applied Psychology (IAAP) co-hosted the 1988 International Conference of Applied Psychologists (ICAP) in Sydney and the IAAP’s Division 10 (Psychology and Law) was formed at this meeting.

Forensic Psychology Practice

The above published and unpublished papers indicate that psychologists were working in forensic settings at least in the late 1940s and mostly worked with young and adult offenders. The relatively large number of papers and articles regarding juveniles and the accuracy of eye witness testimony (Thomson, 1975, 1977, 1980) also used the Annual Experimental Psychology Conference that Professor Ross Day and colleagues at Monash University started in 1974 a valuable forum (personal communication, D Thomson, 5 August, 2018). The psychologist and criminologist David Biles initiated conferences that were useful to psychologists after he became assistant director of research and statistics, and later deputy director of the Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC) that was established in 1973 (Anonymous, 2017). These AIC sponsored seminars gave both experimental psychologists (e.g., the Review of Criminological Research Conference in 1981) and practitioners (e.g., Veno, 1978) opportunities to discuss their professional roles and research.


The APS College of Forensic Psychologists organised the first Australian conference aimed specifically at forensic psychologists in Sydney in February 2001 and since then it arranged conferences in Noosa (2012) and Fremantle (2013) and a two-day symposium in Cairns in 2017. The titles of the papers presented at these meetings show the wide range of research and practice interests of forensic psychologists covering apologies in tort matters (Allan, 2013a), practice standards in risk assessment (Daffern, 2013), domestic violence evidence in family courts (Ogloff, 2013) and working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (Day, 2017).
during the next decade suggest that psychologists were primarily involved with young offenders and they were well established in the Children’s Courts in both Victoria (Brown, 2018) and in New South Wales (NSW) in the 1960s (personal communication, A D Cole, 23 March, 2018). Patricia Brown, who retired in 2018 as Director of the Children’s Court Clinic of Victoria, was the first psychologist to be put in charge of a Government Clinic in Victoria. The NSW Department of Family and Community Services also employed psychologists in its Children Court Clinics during the 1970s, but closed them in the late 1980s. The service was re-established by the Department of Justice in the late 1990s (personal communication, A D Cole, 23 March, 2018). The Department of Family and Community Services also employed psychologists to work in the protection of children and people with intellectual disabilities until the NSW Guardianship Board took over responsibility for people with disabilities in the late 1980s. Psychologists’ interest in the disability area, however, continued as is demonstrated by papers on the assessment of fitness to stand trial for defendants with an intellectual disability (e.g., Birgden & Thomson, 1999).

Psychologists’ involvement with adult offenders were, however, minimal and mostly involved doing psychological assessments for psychiatrists in forensic mental health settings and prisons until the 1970s (personal communication, D Thomson, 25 October, 2017). Some psychologists were, however, starting to do assessments to inform prison authorities regarding the management of prisoners and later provided counselling services to prisoners (personal communication, D Thomson, 25 October, 2017). Psychologists were working in the civil law area in the early 1980s as personal recollections (A D Cole, 23 March 2018; R Pryor, 15 March, 2018) and articles and papers on neuropsychology (Gilandas & Touyz, 1982) and post trauma injuries (Bryant, 1996) indicate. Amendments to the NSW Motor Vehicle Accident (1988) and Workers Compensation (Workers Compensation Act [NSW], 1987) Acts increased psychologists’ involvement in civil law as it required decision-makers to view injured people’s whole person and not only their physical injuries. These legislative changes benefited psychologists who practiced in areas such as pain assessment and management, posttraumatic stress and post-injury adjustment and those who worked in rehabilitation and return to work programs (personal communication, R Pryor, 15 March, 2018). Psychologists were also active in working with the police (McConkey & Jupp, 1985; McConkey, Roche, & Sheehan, 1989; McConkey & Sheehan, 1988a, 1988b) and in corrections departments. Priest (1996) surveyed departments of Justice in the States and Territories with the exception of Queensland and ACT and found that most employed psychologists but that they also used contractors and that Tasmania and the Northern Territory primarily used psychologists who worked for other departments responsible for health and community services. He found that the number of fulltime psychologists fluctuated considerably between 1980 and1983 and reported that that they mostly worked in prisons or regional community corrections offices and spent most of their time doing assessments and interventions. Their interventions mostly took the form of anger and stress management, substance abuse and sex offender programs, but psychologists also provided crisis and suicide prevention interventions. Priest concluded that Australian correctional psychologists’ scope of activities and research opportunities were narrower and less developed than those of their counterparts in England and Wales. He was pessimistic about the possibility that the situation would improve.

Allan, Martin and Allan (2000) who surveyed 79 psychologists who did assessments for the courts in the late 1990s found that 58% were working in a private forensic practice and that they received most of their instructions (51%) from defence lawyers. These psychologists reported that pre-sentence reports and personal injury claims formed the bulk of their forensic work with family law work a distant third. The respondents were generally satisfied with the treatment they received, and the court atmosphere, but they believed that their answers and testimony were sometimes distorted.

Interaction with Lawyers

Some judges and lawyers still found it difficult to understand who psychologists were and what they could offer to law into the 1970s (see, e.g., Bartholomew et al., 1977; Brown, 1981). Psychologists tried to answer this question by presenting papers at Australian Institute of Criminology conferences (e.g., Thomson, 1983) and the conferences of judicial officers (e.g., Thomson, 1982). Some lawyers, nevertheless showed a keen interest in psychology and understood the potential of
the interaction between psychology and law. Justice Michael Kirby who was chair of the Law Review Commission (formed in 1975) and later became Justice of the High Court of Australia presented a keynote lecture on Psychology and the Law at the APS’ 1978 annual conference. Justice Kirby also invited Don Thomson in 1981 to join the Australian Law Reform Commission working group on evidence that worked towards developing a Uniform Evidence Act (Evidence Act, 1995). Don Thomson was subsequently also invited by Professor Michael Chesterman to join the Australian Law Review Commission working group on the law of contempt (personal communication, D Thomson, 26 May, 2018). Justice Kirby also supported the establishment of a psychology and law program at Monash University by writing a letter of support for its formation to the Vice-Chancellor of the University in the early 1990s (personal communication, D Thomson, 25 October, 2017). Other prominent lawyers who believed that psychologists had an important role to play in law were Judge Frank Vincent and Melbourne’s Chief Magistrate Mr Daryl Duggan. The latter was particularly interested in how people would react to sentences and also sought psychologists’ guidance regarding criminal compensation (personal communication, D Thomson, 25 October, 2017). Ms Barbara Holborrow as the senior Children’s Court magistrate in NSW in the 1980’s and 1990’s considered psychological reports useful (personal communication, A D Cole, 23 March, 2018). She continued to request psychologists’ reports from private practitioners until her retirement even after the Department closed its Children Court Clinics in the late 1980s.

**Psycholegal Research**

Many of the early psycholegal researchers lacked research training and received little or no support from their employers (personal communication, A D Cole, 23 March, 2018, and see Priest, 1996). Researchers therefore often undertook research in their work settings but outside their normal working hours and the focus was on adult and young offenders (Marriott, Law, & Perry, 1977, 1978), prison officers (Bartholomew & Badger, 1975) and police officers (Milde, Coleman, & Sharpe, 1981). Researchers, however, appear to have started doing research outside their work settings during the 1980s and early 1990s by investigating juror instructions (Timmons, 1982) and the interviewing of children (Brooks & Siegal, 1991; O’Callaghan & D‘arcy, 1989). The reason for this change might be that Australian researchers encountered notable difficulties when they applied to do psycholegal research within corrective institutions such as prisons and juvenile justice centres in the last 25 years of the 21st century (personal communication, A D Cole, 23 March, 2018). The number of academic researchers, however, remained low and an examination of Figure 20 of the Working Group for the National Committee of Psychology’s (Australian Academy of Science, 1996) report indicates that only 10 tertiary institution researchers worked in the “forensic area”. Heads of academic departments identified the psycholegal area as a less developed field (Figure 4.4), but only three heads saw it as a field that should be prioritised as a research area (Figure 4.5; Australian Academy of Science, 1996). The research topics and identities of researchers during the 1990s and early 2000s, however, suggest that academics were becoming more active psycholegal researchers and that they were studying a broad range of topics. The topics included psychologists use of psychometric instruments in forensic work (e.g., Martin, Allan, & Allan, 2001; Thomas-Peter & Howells, 1996); the influence of court interpreters upon the attributes of defender and plaintiff culpability (e.g., Francis & Thomson, 1997); and jurors’ perceptions and eyewitness identification (e.g., Brewer, Semmler, & Wells, 2001; Dutton & Carroll, 2001). Researchers still mostly focus on criminal law with Goodman-Delahunty and Foote’s (2011) book on work harassment a notable exception. Psychologists, many associated with the therapeutic jurisprudence movement, also undertook research aimed at better understanding and improving legal and correctional processes by doing research about the mental health (Allan, 2002), correctional (Birgden, 2002) and court systems (Allan, 2007; Allan, McKillop, & Carroll, 2010; Dorward & Thomson, 1990).

**Professional Issues and Ethics**

Australian forensic psychologists have through the years demonstrated that they appreciated the professional and ethical issues they face and early correctional psychologists (e.g., Taylor, 1961; Veno, 1978) were aware of their position of power and the confidentially issues they faced. They often expressed
concerns about the applicability of the Australian Psychological Society’s Code of Ethics (e.g., Veno, 1978). These concerns were addressed by authors (e.g., Allan, 2013b, 2018; Day, Whetham, & White, 2008) and the APS further developed ethical guidelines specifically for psychological practice in forensic contexts (APS, 2013a). The APS also developed ethical guidelines to address difficult situations, such as working with people who pose a high risk of harm to others (APS, 2013b), or where the practice of some psychologists became a concern (McConkey, 1995a), such as the forensic use of hypnosis (McConkey & Sheehan, 1992) and reporting on recovered memories (McConkey, 1995b). The legal-ethical and management of self-harm in prisons has also been a topic of special interest (e.g., Dear, 2006; Dear, Thomson, Howells, & Hall, 2001) to psychologists.

Professional Bodies

ANZAPPL that was formed in 1978 was the first Australian professional body tailored for the needs of psychologists interested in psycholegal research and forensic psychology. Alfred Allan was the first psychologist who was the president of this transnational organisation in 2005 and he was followed by three other psychologists (ANZAPPL, Undated). Patricia Brown initiated the formation of the APS Forensic Group in 1980 when she convened a meeting of Melbourne psychologists working in forensic settings at the Melbourne’s Children’s Court in 1980 (personal communication, D Thomson, 25 October, 2017). This group evolved into the Board of Forensic Psychologists in 1981 (with Patricia Brown as the national chair in its inaugural year) and became the APS College of Forensic Psychologists in 1993 with Anthony Cole as its first chair. The APS College of Forensic Psychologists had 151 members in 1996 (Australian Academy of Science, 1996). Members of the College could refer to themselves as members of the College of Forensic Psychologists but not forensic psychologists, with the exception of those who held forensic specialist title in Western Australia (Psychologists Board of Western Australia, 2007).

Teaching Psychology and Law

Michael Singer made the first attempt to establish a psychology and law course that students could take in their 4th year at Caulfield Institute in the mid-1980s and Don Thomson established a Forensic Psychology Master’s degree at Monash University in 1988. Several other psychologists such as Ellen Berah and Jack White also worked hard to establish forensic psychology programs during the 1990s. Priest (1994) found that eight Australian Universities that responded to his questionnaire concerning training “applied to Justice systems” reported relevant courses at Master’s level. Five Universities (Adelaide, Curtin, La Trobe, Monash, Swinburne) reported that they provided their students supervised contact with offender populations and La Trobe and Melbourne Universities’ students also did placements at the Children's Court Clinic. Universities such as Charles Sturt University (director, Don Thomson), Edith Cowan University (director, Alfred Allan), University of New South Wales (director, John Taplin) and South Australia (director, Kevin Howells) were offering programs accredited by the APS College of Forensic Psychologists in 2000.

Current situation

Forensic psychology is currently well organised in Australia. The Psychology Board of Australia (PsyBA) at its formation in 2010 made it possible for suitably qualified psychologists to be endorsed as forensic psychologists and there are currently (March 2018) 572 psychologists who are endorsed. Psychologists must be so endorsed to use the title forensic psychologist (Health Practitioner Regulation National Law Act, 2009), but non-endorsed psychologists can still do forensic work provided that they do not call themselves forensic psychologists. Many psychologists who lack forensic training, knowledge or skills still do forensic work such as family court work (e.g., Maher & Mills (2), 2015; Olssen & Wise, 2014) and this might impair the reputation of forensic psychologists.

Forensic psychologists and psycholegal researchers can also be members of the APS College of Forensic Psychologists that currently has 524 members (APS College of Forensic Psychologists, Undated) and they have free access to APS resources such as ethical guidelines (APS, 2013a, 2013b). Most Australian forensic psychologists today still work in forensic mental health settings and prisons where they do assessments, write risk assessment, sentencing and parole reports, and also provide treatment and
counselling. Psychologists, however, also work for other government and police departments including anti-crime and corruption agencies. Psychologists in private practice working in criminal law mostly undertake pre-sentence assessments and reports, but also provide expert testimony regarding the reliability of memories and police interviews (e.g., Hardwick v State of Western Australia, 2011). Psychologists also do assessments and write reports for guardianship boards and civil and family courts (Finton and Kimble, 2017).

Psychologists’ status is still not equal to that of psychiatrists and the legislation in most states prevents them from testifying on fitness to stand trial and criminal responsibility questions on behalf of the State. The legislation in several Australian jurisdictions further allow only psychiatrists to testify on behalf of the State about respondents’ risk of sexual reoffending in applications for preventative detention orders (e.g., Dangerous Sexual Offenders Act [WA], 2006). Psychologists can therefore only testify as supplementary witnesses of the applicant or for respondents (e.g., DPP v Williams, 2007). The irony is that Australian forensic psychologists consider the undertaking of offenders’ risk of reoffending in general, and sexual reoffending in particular, as a core activity (e.g., Allan, Dawson, & Allan, 2006) and undertake most, if not all, research in the area (e.g., Allan et al., 2006; Smallbone & Rallings, 2013).

Researchers still find it difficult to gain access to research participants within many forensic settings and the relatively small populations in some jurisdictions restrict the research that they can undertake. This is particularly a problem for researchers who want to undertake research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. Australian psycholegal researchers nevertheless currently do research in many areas with many focusing on the assessment (Ogloff, Pfeifer, Shepherd, & Ciorciari, 2017) and treatment of violent (Klepfisz, Daffern, & Day, 2016; Mastromanno et al., 2018; O’Brien & Daffern, 2016) and sexual (Day, Bryan, Davey, & Casey, 2005) offenders and arsonists ( Ducat, McEwan, & Ogloff, 2015; Nanayakkara, Ogloff, & Thomas, 2015). Research in emerging areas include studies of the therapeutic climate of prisons (Daffern, Simpson, & Chu, 2018; Day, Casey, Vess, & Huisy, 2012) and the deaths in custody (Biles, Harding, & Walker, 1998, 1999). Children and young people remain an important focus of research and researchers are still studying established research issues such as the interviewing of children (Benson & Powell, 2015; Hamilton, Whiting, Brubacher, & Powell, 2017; Powell, Guadagno, & Benson, 2016; Powell & Thomson, 1997), but also new areas such as apologies in juvenile justice (Allan, Beesley, Attwood, & McKillop, 2014). Several researchers do work on the interviewing of adults (Goodman-Delahuntly, Martschuk, & Dhami, 2014) and eye-witness accuracy (Towler, White, & Kemp, 2017; Wang, Paterson, & Kemp, 2014). The emphasis nevertheless remains on criminal work with some exceptions such as Allan, McKillop and Carrol’s (2010) study of apologies in tribunals. The teaching of forensic psychology at universities has rapidly declined since the amendment of the Health Insurance Commission Act (1973) in 2006 that gives patients a rebate for services provided by clinical and some other psychologists. Nine universities offered forensic psychology programs accredited with the Australian Psychology Accreditation in Council 2010, but at the time of writing in 2018 only the University of New South Wales and Swinburne University were still offering forensic psychology positions to students. A related problem is the lack of psychologists who have been approved by the PsyBA to supervise psychologists who want to work under supervision to acquire endorsement as forensic psychologists.

Conclusion

Both psycholegal research and forensic psychology have evolved into strong areas during the last 70 years and work opportunities for forensic psychologists appear to be growing. The field might, however, soon not be able to provide suitably trained psychologists to meet this demand if it cannot resolve the lack of training opportunities and supervisors. The risk is that psychologists who lack the appropriate knowledge, skills and experience will then do forensic work that could be to the detriment of the profession as such.
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The Argentinian Society for the History of Psychology (Sociedad Argentina de Historia de la Psicología) Has Been Created!

Catriel Fierro
Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata – CONICET

Historians of Psychology and related scholars interested in the history of science have yet another reason to be cheerful: we now have a new scholarly organization specifically devoted to the field! During the past Argentinian Meeting on the History of Psychiatry, Psychology and Psychoanalysis which took place on October 4-5 at the province of San Luis, and after 20 years of uninterrupted, yearly scholarly meetings, a group of researchers from diverse regions of the country convened to officially found the Argentinian Society for the History of Psychology (Sociedad Argentina de Historia de la Psicología).

The members of the first Executive Committee are: Hugo Klappenbach (president; National University of San Luis), Patricia Scherman (vicepresident; National University of Córdoba), Sebastián Benítez (secretary; National University of La Plata), Ana María Talak (treasurer; National University of La Plata), Miguel Gallegos (speaker; National University of Rosario), Ana Elisa Ostrovsky (speaker; National University of Mar del Plata), Luciana Mariñelarena-Dondena (speaker, National University of San Luis), Matías Amarillo (speaker, Entre Ríos Autonomous University) and Catriel Fierro (speaker, National University of Mar del Plata). Among other things, the Society aims to furthering studies on the diverse array of historical and historiographical topics related to psychology in the country, as well as to channeling the professionalization and institutionalization of the Argentinian field in an internationalized context.
NEW EDITOR IN JOURNAL OF THE HISTORY OF THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES

Alexandra Rutherford (York University, Canada) is the new editor of the Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences (JHBS). JHBS is an international journal devoted to the social, cultural, intellectual, technical, and institutional histories of the social and behavioral sciences. The journal publishes original research articles, book reviews, and media reviews that cover the development of the core disciplines of psychology, anthropology, sociology, psychiatry and psychoanalysis, economics, linguistics, communications, political science, and the neurosciences. The journal also welcomes papers and book reviews in related fields, particularly the history of science and medicine, historical theory, and historiography. Ideas and proposals for thematic special issues are welcomed.

Please contact: alexr@yorku.ca
Rom Harré (1927-2019)

Professor Rom Harré, one of the most important psychologists has left us. When Jerome Bruner, one of his friends, whom he affectionately called “Jerry” died, said that his work was deeply inspiring, we can say the same of Rom Harré’s extensive work. Harré was born New Zealand and obtained a degree in mathematics (1948) and philosophy (1952). In 1956 he was a student of John Austin, language philosophers and creator of the theory of speech acts, becoming an actor in one of the most decisive events in the psychology of the twentieth century, the linguistic turn (Harré, 1984).

Harré is an immortal interlocutor, was present in the discussions about the crisis of social psychology in the 70s, and was essential for the birth of the Discursive Psychology in the late 80s and some discussion with the postmodern theories (Viafara, 2015; Camarena, Saavedra & Ducloux-Saldívar, 2015). His Positioning theory was the pillar for a series of discussions on the social action, reality and identity construction (Harré, 1982; Harré, 1999). His innumerable publications are a sample of his tireless desire to investigate and the valuation of the theory, as a means to know the social, political and cultural reality. Realism was undoubtedly his Trojan horse in many stages of his thought, Harré used it incessantly as a “border” to critically read different theories such as: Marxism, cognitivism or the theory of social representations (Harré, 2009).

With the loss of Rom Harré, one of the greatest psychologists of the twentieth century leaves, his thinking was able to inspire a generation of thinkers such as Roy Bhaskar (2008), Ian Parker (1992), Erica Burman (2008), Jonathan Potter (1979), among others. It is noteworthy that his last facet of his intellectual life was dedicated to emotions, does not mean that it was a subject outside his thinking, but that it is a corollary, a synthesis of that deep and inspiring thought that was always in those dissertations and philosophical thesis. Rom Harré (born December 18, 2019 and died October 17, 2019)
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Introducción a la lógica de las ciencias.
The first Harre’s book translated to Spanish


It is a book that exposes that collects some texts published in the magazine Theoria and Philosophia and its main objective is to provide an approach to the structure and method of science. This text is above all a historical account of the scientific discourse mainly of epistemology and the theory of knowledge of the twentieth century.

It is also a controversial book, precisely in the last chapter opens a debate against the sociology of knowledge, which would be represented by Thomas Kuhn, which would qualify as a dangerous reductionism. Rom Harré was a critic of the use given to the concept of "paradigm", he stressed, although scientific work can be studied in terms of values or judgments of the scientific community, the epistemological value of a theory cannot be exhausted in it.

Under the publishing label Labor also published in Spanish, the book “Great Experiments” (1986). One of his most important works “The social being. A theory for social psychology” was published by Alianza Editorial in 1982, a work sometimes forgotten that we must recover more and more.
A busca da perfeição: o ideario eugenista em pauta
(The search for perfection: the eugenicist idea at stake)

Maria Lúcia Boarini (Editor)

Ana Carolina Nisiide
Study and Research Group on Hygienics and Eugenics // State University of Maringa, Brazil
ninacarolinabecker@gmail.com

The Brazilian scenario was, at the beginning of the twentieth century, fruitful for the spreading of eugenic ideals. Aiming at the improvement of the human race, eugenicists worked hard on studies and proposals that justified the control of subjects considered dysgenic, besides encouraging actions involving prophylaxis and eugenic education.

Directing efforts towards a historical reflection, by rescuing the eugenic ideals, was the impulse for the construction of this book that, organized by Maria Lucia Boarini and prefaced by Ana Jacó-Vilela, strives to bring out a range of texts that debate the conceptual, philosophical, and anthropological issues about the uses of eugenics; the spread of eugenic discourse through the means of communication of that time; the relations between eugenics, race and national identity; the search for the legal apparatus for the realization of eugenic ideals; the practice of sterilization as a measure of control of subjects considered dysgenic; as well as analyzes of its relationship with public health, mental hygiene, and childhood.

Although such topics may seem like “water under the bridge”, a closer approach to today's social scenario shows that many of these discourses are re-edited, under new guises, but they protect their old meanings – a factor that makes the book here presented very pertinent and in tune with contemporary debates.
100 Centennial Congress of Applied Psychology

IAAP invite contributions from all fields of psychology and related areas. The congress appreciate applied research and work that involves evidence-based interventions. We expect authors to submit original work that has not been published or accepted for presentation in other conferences.

Dates

**First Round**
All submissions will be subject to peer-review under the supervision of the Scientific Committee. Notification of acceptance for early submissions will be emailed to the corresponding author by March 1st, 2020, together with detailed information and guidelines.

**Second Round**
A second round of submissions will open April 1st, 2020, ending July 31st, 2020. Kindly submit your abstract/s via online registration system (online submission form) on congress website.

**More information:**
https://www.ccapcancun2020.com/
The Argentine Center for the History of Psychoanalysis, Psychology and Psychiatry of the National Library invites you to the exhibition “Freud in Argentina. 80 years after his death”. The exhibition can be visited on the third floor of the National Library from September 23 to December 31, 2019.

“Freud in Argentina. 80 years after his death” focuses on the reception, institutionalization and dissemination of psychoanalysis in Argentine culture.

The materials displayed were organized around four axes: the debates prior to the creation of psychoanalytic associations (1910-1939), the institutionalization of psychoanalysis in Argentina (1942-1976), the dissemination of psychoanalytic ideas in the cultural sphere (1910-1976) and the translations of the Freudian works into Spanish (1922-2019).

More information:
CALL FOR PAPERS

Estudos e Pesquisas em Psicologia

Open submission

More information in:
www.revispsi.uerj.br

Memorandum. Memory and history in psychology

Open submission

More information in:
https://seer.ufmg.br/index.php/memorandum/index

Guillermo de Ockham

Open submission

More information in:
https://revistas.usb.edu.co/index.php/GuillermoOckham
Congresses, Seminars & more


Links of Interest

- Academic & National Library
  Catalogue http://copac.ac.uk/

- Division of History of Science and Technology of the Union of History & Philosophy of Science https://sites.google.com/a/dhstweb.org/


- Psychological Online Documents Classics - Universitäit Bonn

- International Association of Applied Psychology http://www.iaapsy.org/

- APA History and Archives

- The National Archives. Records of the UK government from Domesday to the present http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/

- Psychology Museum at the School of Psychology at The University of Sydney http://www.psych.usyd.edu.au/museum

- The British Society for the History of Science www.bshs.org.uk

Membership

To become a member, simply follow the instructions at IAAP official Website http://www.iaapsy.org/

Be aware that each member, with no further costs, is entitled to be a member of 4 divisions at the time. Invite your colleagues and friends to JOIN DIVISION. 18 as a very interesting 'second choice', if it is not the first.
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Julio César Ossa, Editor in chief
Juan David Millán, Assistant Editor