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The main purpose of this website is to promote activities and services to our members and to get to know each other across the world.

To start with, in this section we have a proposal in which we would like to involve you as soon as possible...

*Connecting members across the world!!*

Because our organization has more than four hundred members worldwide, the first aim of this website will be to connect us, to know where our colleges are working in the aim topics of Clinical and Applied Community Psychology, their diverse functions and different areas of expertise, and the world centres where we are performing psychological interventions and research. We ask you to complete this questionnaire that will permit us to build a database and to give you information about which members of the Division are close to you, their areas of expertise, and the psychological centres where they are working.

We think this information will be useful to support you in many professional situations, for instance, when you are preparing a professional trip, when you need collaboration to develop some research programmes in any part of the world, and so on.

Please, complete the questionnaire and send it to mpgvera@psi.ucm.es
IAAP Division 6 Survey

Personal Information

Name: ____________________________

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Surname: ____________________________

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Age: ______

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Academic Information

Academic degree: ____________________________

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Master’s degree: ☐ No ☐ Yes Please, specify Master’s degree titles(s):

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Doctor’s degree ☐ Yes ☐ No Please, specify Doctor’s degree titles(s):

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Specialization area

(list a maximum of 6 choices in order of preference by selecting the number of the correspondind topic. You can add other 2 topics if you want)

Topics: ______ ______ ______ ______ ______ ______

Others: ______________________

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”

Areas of interest/research

(check up a maximum of 6 choices in order of preference by selecting the number of the correspondind topic. You can add other 2 topics if you want)

Topics: ______ ______ ______ ______ ______ ______

Others: ______________________

☐ “Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members”
Work

Current job position:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Current institutions or workplaces (enter up to 3):

☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Workplace address:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

City:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

State:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Zip code: __________________________
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Country:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Phone number: __________________________
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Fax:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Email address: __________________________
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Website:
☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"

Career resumes (500 words max):

☐ "Please, mark the following box if you agree to share this information with other IAAP members"
Most relevant publications (500 words max)

List of topics

1. Addictions
2. ADHD
3. Aging
4. Alzheimer's and dementias
5. Anger
6. Anxiety
7. Assessment
8. Autism
9. Affective disorders
10. Biofeedback
11. Bullying
12. Burnout
13. Cancer
14. Children
15. Cognitive-behavioral treatments
16. Couples
17. Death & Dying
18. Diagnosis
19. Disability
20. Eating Disorders
21. EMDR
22. Emergencies
23. Emotional Health
24. Environment
25. Ethics
26. Evidenced-based treatments
27. Family planning
28. Health education
29. HIV & AIDS
30. Human Rights
31. Hypnosis
32. Immigration
33. Intelligence
34. Interpersonal therapy
35. Kids & the Media
36. Law & Psychology
37. Learning & Memory
38. Military
39. Natural Disasters
40. Obesity
41. Psychology and Health
42. Psychophysiological disorders
43. Parenting
44. Personality
45. Prevention
46. Psychoanalysis
47. Terrorism
48. Trauma / Post-traumatic Stress Disorder
49. Psychosis
50. Sexual Abuse
51. Sexuality
52. Sleep
53. Sport and Exercise
54. Stress
55. Suicide
56. Systemic therapy
57. Teens
58. Violence
59. Women & Men
60. Workplace Issues
EFFICACY OF A LONG-TERM TRAUMA FOCUSED CBT IN PTSD, MAJOR DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY DISORDERS IN VICTIMS OF TERRORISM

A pilot study
Clara Gesteira

This article briefly examines the preliminary results of a pilot study about the efficacy of trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) with an exposure component in victims of terrorist attacks with a longstanding history (> 5 years after terrorist attacks) of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety, or depressive disorders. Thus, it aims to augment the limited scientific knowledge on the efficacy of treatments in this particular population.

127 direct and indirect victims of terrorist attacks committed in Spain, an average of 18.20 years ago, that presented separately or concurrently post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD, 52%), major depressive disorder (MDD, 42.5%), and other anxiety disorders (75.8%) were randomized into either a 16 session CBT (cognitive behavioral therapy) focused in trauma (treatment group), or a 16 weeks waiting list (control group). The trauma-focused CBT was based in the prolonged exposure protocol of Foa and Rothbaum (1998) with specific techniques for mood disorders, and anxiety disorders, highlighting psychoeducation about posttraumatic reactions, cognitive restructuring and adding some other motivational strategies, emotional
regulation and narrative therapy. Of the 63 people who were assigned to the experimental group, 25 (39.7 %) rejected the therapy and 9 (23.7 %) dropped out once it started.

People in the treatment group who finished the treatment (n = 23) had significantly lower average scores in post-traumatic (PCL), depressive (BDIL-II) and anxiety (BAI) symptomatology than those obtained in pre-treatment, and none of them presented PTSD nor MDD post-treatment. These results were significantly better than those of the control group. The pre/post effect size was large (d PCL = 1.69; d BDI-II = 1.25; d BAI = 1.31), and the effect sizes across groups were large and medium (d PCL = 0.78, d BDI-II = 0.64, d BAI = 0.83). The results of this study, similar to those of other previous efficacy studies, suggest that this trauma-focused CBT, adapted for victims of terrorism who suffer from PTSD or anxiety or depression disorders many years after the terrorist attacks, is effective. Although it is recommended to improve motivation techniques, and to investigate with larger sample sizes, with specific analysis of the components of treatment, with reliability and adherence to protocol analysis and according to different groups of victims and risk factors, the results of this thesis strongly support the idea that cognitive-behavioral therapy focused on trauma should be the preferred treatment for victims of terrorism with PTSD, MDD or other anxiety disorders, even when they are comorbid and chronic.
Professor James Michael Shultz

1. As an expert on disaster health, you are the creator of a new methodology related to trauma which is called “trauma signature”. Can you explain how this new approach works and what is it used for?

Trauma signature (TSIG) analysis is an evidence-based method that examines the interrelationship between population exposure to a disaster, extreme event, or complex emergency and the interconnected physical and psychological consequences for the purpose of providing timely, actionable guidance for effective mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS) that is organically tailored and targeted to the defining features of the event (Shultz & Neria 2013).

Each disaster has distinguishing characteristics, a singular “signature.” This is important to recognize because the particular constellation of psychological risk factors that the disaster-affected community will experience is uniquely defined by the nature of the disaster event. MHPSS response needs to be adapted to the situation and to the stressors.

TSIG was initially developed in the process of conducting a detailed case study of the prominent psychological risk factors during the 2010 Haiti earthquake (Shultz et al. 2011). During that event, there was widespread population exposure to earthquake hazards, losses, and life changes – known risk factors for psychological distress and psychopathology. Also, many international disaster responders experienced potentially traumatizing exposures. However, the MHPSS response was initially non-existent for months and later, psychological support was feeble and inconsistently implemented. As we examined the gaps in MHPSS support, it occurred to us that it would be optimal to be able to
conduct a rapid assessment of psychosocial needs in the immediate aftermath of a disaster in a manner that could guide the MHPSS response.

To this point, TSIG has been used to conduct a series of retrospective case studies. In the short-term future, several researchers are interested in mapping TSIG onto to the Inter-Agency Standing Committee guidelines for psychosocial support in emergency settings. Others want to strengthen the focus on resilience. The ultimate goal is to create a real-time TSIG analysis capability that will assess incoming data from an evolving disaster and generate guidance for responder “force protection” and for the initial MHPSS response for disaster survivors. Hopefully this capability can be staffed and coordinated with international disaster response operations, perhaps in conjunction with the World Health Organization.

2. What kind of traumatic events are appropriated for trauma signature?

To date, TSIG case studies have been conducted on large “mega-disaster” events that have significantly impacted large populations and have generated a national or international disaster response. These events frequently are of such scale that they are designated as complex emergencies and/or humanitarian crises. As an important real-time data source, events of this nature are often tracked on ReliefWeb where regular situation reports from multiple sources - including the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Assistance (OCHA) - can be retrieved and used in the analysis.

As part of doing the TSIG analysis, a “trauma signature summary” is developed that ranks the disaster event on an “exposure severity” scale for a range of psychological risk factors. So far the severity ratings are based on absolute numbers of deaths, injuries, affected persons, displaced persons and other measures regarding costs and needs for emergency supplies. These absolute numbers can be compared to data on more than a century of major disaster events compiled by the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED), Brussels, Belgium. We do not yet have the data to examine severity in terms of rates, which would be desirable epidemiologically; hopefully this will be a future enhancement that will allow TSIG analysis of smaller scale disaster events.
3. What kind of traumatic events have you tested with trauma signature methodology?
   - 2010 Haiti Earthquake (Shultz et al. 2011)
   - 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill (Shultz et al., in review)
   - 2011 regional flooding in the U.S. Midwest (Shultz et al. 2013a)
   - 2011 Great East Japan Disaster (Shultz et al. 2013b)
   - 2012 Superstorm Sandy (Neria & Shultz 2012)
   - 2014/2015 West Africa Ebola outbreak (Shultz, Baingana, & Neria, in review)
   - Decades of conflict in Colombia: Conflict-displaced persons (Shultz et al. 2014)

4. Which are the main results you have obtained with trauma signature?
   Each disaster is unique. That is the basis for conducting trauma signature analysis. MHPSS response can be tailored to the situation and better targeted to meet the novel psychosocial needs of the disaster-affected population. When the TSIG summary tables – that enumerate major psychological risk factors that are common to many disasters and rank the “exposure severity” to each of these risks – are compared, it is clear that each disaster has a novel constellation of psychological risks.

5. Is there any variable specially related to a specific type of trauma? Under your point of view, which are the most important variables? Do external variables have more impact in people than internal ones? Or is it just on the contrary?
   TSIG analysis is grounded on the Disaster Ecology Model. That model focuses on three types of exposures: to hazards, losses, and life changes. These exposures are psychological stressors known to elevate risks for distress and common mental disorders in persons exposed to disasters. So the ability to 1) define the unique and distinguishing features of the disaster event – the “signature” descriptors, and 2) comprehensively document the exposures to hazards, losses, and life changes by disaster phases serve as the primary variables upon which the TSIG analysis is based. The resulting description can be used to prepare responders for what to expect for the purpose of their own “force protection” and to create a data-based approach to organizing the MHPSS response.
6. How much predictive power do you think this tool of trauma signature is going to have in the future?

Based on the case studies conducted to date, TSIG analysis should have considerable predictive power at the level of the disaster-affected population. There has been recent discussion about whether TSIG can be “beamed down” from a population to an individual level of application; currently it is too early to know this.

7. What type of clinical implications will trauma signature have?

TSIG is currently a tool designed for community-level application. However, if TSIG analyses are conducted during impact or immediately post-disaster, and MHPSS support is timely and focused, there should be detectable improvements in population mental health. By extension, this would be based on having more individuals maintaining or regaining function, exhibiting resilience, and recovering from disaster-related common mental disorders.


Shultz JM, Forbes D, Wald D, Kelly F, Solo-Gabriele HM, Rosen A, Espinel Z, McLean A, Bernal O, Neria Y. Trauma signature of the Great East Japan Disaster provides guidance for the psychological consequences of
the affected population. 


---

James Michael Shultz
Some prestigious organizations, such as the American Psychiatric Association (APA, 2004, 2009), the American Psychological Association (2015), the Australian Centre for Posttraumatic Mental Health (ACPMH, 2013), the Cochrane (Roberts, Kitchiner, Kenardy, and Bisson, 2010; Stein, Ipser and Seedat, 2006); the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Defense of the USA (VA / DoD, 2010) or the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE, 2005) have launched their proposals on how is the best approaching to the post trauma intervention. All these interventions are based, of course, on the empirical evidence available at the time and based therefore on treatments that have been proven more effective. Here are some of the most important guidelines about trauma intervention.

- Australian Centre for Posttraumatic Mental Health (2013). *Australian guidelines for the treatment of acute
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Check here, next international congress of clinical and applied psychology.

May, 2015

• **168th Annual Meeting American Psychiatric Association (APA).** May 16th-20th, Toronto, Canada. [http://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/meetings/annual-meeting](http://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/meetings/annual-meeting)

• **ICAP 2015: 17th International Conference on Applied Psychology.** May 28th-29th, Tokyo, Japan. [https://www.waset.org/conference/2015/05/tokyo/ICAP](https://www.waset.org/conference/2015/05/tokyo/ICAP)
June, 2015
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